

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,502	12/05/2003	Diane M. Northrup	121932.00002	1955
34282	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
QUARLES & BRADY STREICH LANG, LLP ONE SOUTH CHURCH AVENUE			WILKENS, JANET MARIE	
SUITE 1700	CHOROHITIVEROE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TUCSON, A	Z 85701-1621		3637	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	10/729,502	NORTHRUP, DIANE M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ication.  lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Months of the ory period will expire SIX (6) Months ory period will exply and will expire SIX (6) Months or the ory period will be organized to the organized of the organized or the organiz	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .					
	This action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					
closed in accordance with the practice	•	· •				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the app	olication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10,12-17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5, 11 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E	- - - - - -					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2</u>		objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	,	* *	1(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	·	= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign phority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (t).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	aumonto hava haan raasivad					
1. Certified copies of the priority do		Application No.				
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	or a not or the defined depice he	t robolivou.				
Attachmant/s)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/2003	,	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/3/2003.  J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)	<del></del> '				
	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050	514			

Application/Control Number: 10/729,502

Art Unit: 3637

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the door must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 4, walls 32 should be 32a,32b.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hess. Hess teaches screening members (30,17) with removable shelves (24). The screening members enclose an area. The back member/screening member (30) is planar and easily removable. The other screening members are also removable. Furthermore, a door (20) is provided in front of the shelves. Since Hess teaches all of the limitations of the claims, the method steps would inherently also be provided for.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,502 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

Claims 3, 6, 9, 12, 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hess. As stated above, Hess teaches the limitations of claims 1, 7, and 13, including a back screening member (which is metal) and shelves attached to two other screening members (the vertical sides of frame 17). For claims 3, 9 and 16, Hess fails to teach that the screening member is drywall. The examiner takes Official notice that drywall sheets are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the back screening member of Hess by constructing it out of drywall, for aesthetic reasons.

For claims 6, 12, and 19, Hess fails to teach that the shelves are attached to the screening members via friction fitting. The examiner takes Official notice that attaching horizontal members to vertical members via friction fitting is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach the shelves to the frame of Hess via an alternate means, i.e. using friction fitting instead of the ledges presently used, since these means are functional equivalents and it appears that either means would work equally well for attaching the shelves to the frame. Furthermore, the friction fitting attachment would simplify assembly since no ledges would be required.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3637

Claims 5, 11 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet M. Wilkens whose telephone number is (571) 272-6869. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wilkens May 16, 2005 JANET M. WILKENS
PRIMARY EXAMINER